CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2002/10/10 : CIA-RDP90-00810R000100090031-4

Streetime shortly after 1600 hours on 22 January 19h7 a copy of the proposed Matienal Defense hot of 19h7 was delivered to the Director of Central Intelligence for comment on those sections applicable to him. Immediate review of the intelligence sections indicated that they had been lifted virtually verbatin from 5-20hh, the Marger Bill introduced into the 79th Congress by Senator Thomas. These provisions are considered unsatisfactory to C.I.O. in many respects. The salient features of disagreement are included in the Memorandum from the undersigned to the Director of Central Intelligence dated 23 January 19h7. subjects Preposed Will for Entirest Versea Lot of 19h7.

**ILLEGIB** 

A scularence with the Mirector established the policy that an attempt should not be made to remove from the Defense Act all but a bare mention of the Central Intelligence Agency, and introduce a separate CEG Hill. The Mirector also indicated his desire to have included a provisite that he would serve as the advisor to the Council on Mational Defense on matters pertaining to intelligence, and that in this capacity he sould attend all meetings of the Council. It was agreed that the Director should take no part in the decisions of the Council as this was a policy making body, and it had long been agreed that Central Intelligence thould not be involved in policy making.

ILLEGIB

of Mrs Charles S. Hurphy, Administrative Assistant to the President, at which General Vandenberg, Vice Admiral Porrest Shernan, Major General Lauris Morstady the undersigned, and Mrs Houston were present. Mrs. Murphy stated that the subject was new to him, as he had first entered the picture on 20 January 1947 and was charged with the over-all drafting of the White House version of the Mational Defense Act. He stated that he did not know that a proposed GTS enabling Act had been substituted to Mrs. Clifford's officed. He suggested (concurred in by all present) that the draft of the proposed GTS enabling not be substituted for the intelligence sections of the proposed Extional Defense Act as as frittel working basis.

ILLEGIB

from the undersigned, dated 23 January 1947, it was pointed out that no mention of a GIA had been made in the title of the proposed bill. The was due to the fact that a considerable number of boards and councils were LLEGIB created by this bill and none of them were being named in the title. The fore, it would not seem appropriate to mention CIA in the title. In this Constal Vandenberg concurred.

ILLEGIB

ILLEGIB

**ILLEGIB** 

**ILLEGIB** 

CONFIDENTIAL